FILED 1 XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California AUG 1 2 2019 2 E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 WENDY WIDLUS ACUPUNCTURE BOARD Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 82958 California Department of Justice 5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 6 Telephone: (213) 269-6457 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 E-mail: Wendy. Widlus@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant 8 9 BEFORE THE **ACUPUNCTURE BOARD** 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. D1-2015-238 13 Probation Against: 14 CHOL SU KIM, L.AC. 331 South Gramercy Place, Apt. #212 PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION 15 Los Angeles, CA 90020 Acupuncturist License No. AC 17761 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 20 Complainant alleges: 21 **PARTIES** Benjamin Bodea (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his 22 1. official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Acupuncture Board of California (Board). 23 24 On September 8, 2017, the Board issued Acupuncturist License Number AC 17761 to 2. Chol Su Kim, L.Ac. (Respondent). That license was in effect at all times relevant to the charges 25 brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2019, unless renewed. 26 27 In a disciplinary action entitled, "In the Matter of Statement of Issues Against Chol Su 3.

Kim, L.Ac., "Case No. 1A-2015-238, the Board issued a decision, effective September 8, 2018, in

28

1	which Respondent's Acupuncturist License was issued and immediately revoked. However, the
2	
3	
4	
5	-
6	
7	
8	the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless
9	otherwise indicated.
10	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
11	5. Section 4928.1 of the Code states:
12	Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Acupuncture Board in everging its linearing and the public shall be the highest priority for the Acupuncture Board
13	in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the
14	protection of the public shall be paramount.
15	6. Section 4955 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
16	The board may deny, suspend, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon, the license of any acupuncturist if he or she is guilty of unprofessional conduct.
17	Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
18	(a) Using or possessing any controlled substance as defined in Division 10
19	or alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous the acumuncturist or to
20	acupuncturist's ability to engage in the practice of acupuncture with safety to the
21	public.
22	•••
23	(i) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of the acupuncture license.
24	
25	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
26	7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.52 states:
27	(a) A licensee who does any of the following shall be deemed to have
28	committed a major violation of his or her probation:

of the licensee:

- (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke the probation of a licensee who has violated a term or condition of that probation.

COST RECOVERY

- 8. Section 4959 of the Code states:
- (a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.
- (b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of any costs assessed in the proposed decision.
- (c) When the payment directed in the board's order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order for payment in the superior court in the county where the administrative hearing was held. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.
- (d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
- (e) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the Acupuncture Fund.

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failed Biological Fluid Testing)

- 9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation in case number 1A-
- 2015-238, Condition 3 of the probationary order stated in pertinent part as follows:

Applicant shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Applicant's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Applicant shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Applicant shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order Applicant to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Check-in for Biological Fluid Testing)

- 11. Respondent's probation is further subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A On December 20, 2017, Respondent failed to check-in with *FirstSource Solutions* ("*FirstSource*") to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing.
- B. Respondent was notified by the Board on January 2, 2018, that he failed to check-in with *FirstSource* to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing. On January 10, 2018, Respondent provided information to the Board in which he stated his failure to check in with *FirstSource* resulted from his failure to provide a valid credit card for billing.
- C. On October 19, 2018, Respondent failed to check-in with *FirstSource* to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing.
- D. Respondent was notified by the Board on November 9, 2018, that he failed to check-in with *FirstSource* to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing. On November 26, 2018, Respondent provided a statement to the Board in which he denied he failed to check in with *FirstSource*.
- E. On February 7 through 15, 2019, Respondent failed to check-in with *FirstSource* to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing.
- F. Respondent was notified by the Board on February 25, 2019, that he failed to check-in with *FirstSource* February 7 through 15, 2019, to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing. On March 4, 2019, Respondent provided a statement to the Board in which he denied he failed to check in with *FirstSource*.
- G. On June 13, 2019, Respondent failed to check-in with *FirstSource* to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing.
- H. Respondent was notified by the Board on June 14, 2019, that he failed to check-in with *FirstSource* June 13, 2019, to determine whether he had to provide a biological fluid sample for testing. On June 28, 2019, Respondent provided a statement to the Board in which he stated

2

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

3

(Failure to Provide Timely Quarterly Psychological Reports)

At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 1 stated:

5

CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION

6

7

8

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision and on whatever periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, Applicant shall undergo and complete a clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary, by a Board-appointed board-certified physician and surgeon. The examiner shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information he or she deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee.

9

10

11

12

The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted by a licensed physician and surgeon who holds a valid, unrestricted license, has three (3) years, experience in providing evaluation of healthcare practitioners with substance abuse disorders, and is approved by the Board or its Designees. The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with acceptable professional standards for conducting substance abuse clinical diagnostic evaluations. The evaluator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with Applicant within the last five (5) years. The evaluator shall provide an objective, unbiased, and independent evaluation. The clinical diagnostic evaluation report shall set forth, in the evaluator's opinion, whether Applicant has a substance abuse problem, whether Applicant is a threat to himself or herself or others, and recommendations for substance abuse treatment, practice restrictions, or other recommendations related to Applicant's rehabilitation and ability to practice safely. If the evaluator determines during the evaluation process that Applicant is a threat to himself or herself or others,

the evaluator shall notify the Board within twenty-four (24) hours of such a

In formulating his or her opinion as to whether Applicant is safe to return to either

part-time or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations should be imposed, including participation in an inpatient or outpatient treatment program, the

evaluator shall consider the following factors: Applicant's license type; Applicant's history; Applicant's documented length of sobriety (i.e., length of time that has

substance abuse; Applicant's treatment history, medical history and current medical condition; the nature, duration and severity of Applicant's substance abuse problem or

all clinical diagnostic evaluations, a final written report shall be provided to the Board no later than ten (10) days from the date the evaluator is assigned the matter. If the

problems; and whether Applicant is a threat to himself or herself or the public. For

evaluator requests additional information or time to complete the evaluation and report, an extension may be granted, but shall not exceed thirty (30) days from the

date the evaluator was originally assigned the matter.

elapsed since Applicant's last substance use); Applicant's scope and pattern of

13 14

15 16

17

18

determination.

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

The Board shall review the clinical diagnostic evaluation report within five (5) business days of receipt to determine whether Applicant is safe to return to either part-time or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations shall be imposed on Applicant based on the recommendations made by the evaluator. Applicant shall not be returned to practice until he or she has at least thirty (30) days

//

of negative biological fluid tests or biological fluid tests indicating that he or she has not used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself or herself a prohibited substance, as defined in section 1361.51, subdivision (e), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations or alcohol, marijuana and controlled substances, as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Division 10, commencing with section 11000, Health and Safety Code) and dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code, or any drugs requiring a prescription and their associated paraphernalia except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment.

Clinical diagnostic evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of this Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. The cost of the clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary by the examiner, the Board or its designee, shall be borne by the licensee.

Applicant shall not engage in the practice of acupuncture until notified by the Board or its designee that he or she is fit to practice acupuncture safely. The period of time that Applicant is not practicing acupuncture shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation. Applicant shall undergo biological fluid testing as required in this Decision at least two (2) times per week while awaiting the notification from the Board if he or she is fit to practice acupuncture safely.

Applicant shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the examiner conducting the clinical diagnostic evaluation within fifteen (15) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

- 13. The Board did not violate Respondent's probation as a result of his failure to timely obtain the psychological counseling recommended in the Clinical Diagnostic Evaluation due to the difficulty Respondent experienced locating a psychologist who specialized in substance abuse and spoke Korean and English.
- 17. However, when Respondent did select a psychologist who specialized in substance abuse and spoke Korean and English the Board directed Respondent to submit quarterly reports to the Board from the psychologist regarding Respondent's compliance with the psychological counseling.
 - 18. Respondent's second quarterly psychological report was due April 5, 2019.
- 19. Respondent's second quarterly psychological report was dated May 16, 2019, and submitted to the Board on May 16, 2019.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Acupuncture Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Acupuncture Board in Case No. 1A-2015-238 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Acupuncturist License No. AC 17761 issued to Chol Su Kim, L.Ac.;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Acupuncturist License No. AC 17761, issued to Chol Su Kim, L.Ac.;
- 3. Ordering him to pay the Acupuncture Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4959; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: AUG 1 2 2019

BENJAMIN BODEA
Executive Officer
Acupuncture Board

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2019502004 53621244.docx

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Acupuncture Board Case No. D1-2015-238